Methane production enhancement by an independent cathode in integrated anaerobic reactor with microbial electrolysis
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Highlights
- Methane production rate was increased in cathodic anaerobic digestion process.
- Independent cathode mainly contributed to the increase of methane production.
- Fermentative liquid was efficiently utilized in integrated reactor.
- Economic revenue can self-cover the cost of input electricity.

Graphical Abstract
Integrating microbial electrolysis cell and anaerobic bioreactor to enhance methane production from organics.

Abstract
Anaerobic digestion (AD) represents a potential way to achieve energy recovery from waste organics. In this study, a novel bioelectrochemically-assisted anaerobic reactor is assembled by two AD systems separated by anion exchange membrane, with the cathode placing in the inside cylinder (cathodic AD) and the anode on the outside cylinder (anodic AD). In cathodic AD, average methane production rate goes up to 0.070 mL CH₄/mL reactor/day, which is 2.59 times higher than AD control reactor (0.027 m³ CH₄/m³/d). And COD removal is increased ~15% over AD control. When changing to sludge fermentation liquid, methane production rate has been further increased to 0.247 mL CH₄/mL reactor/day (increased by 51.53% comparing with AD control). Energy recovery efficiency presents profitable gains, and economic revenue from increased methane totally self-cover the cost of input electricity. The study indicates that cathodic AD could cost-effectively enhance methane production rate and degradation of glucose and fermentative liquid.

1. Introduction
The current demand in renewable energy has boosted the applications of anaerobic wastewater treatment, which could provide biogas and at the same time produce lower sludge amount from wastewater. The conversion of fermentation products, such as amino acids (AA) and volatility fatty acids (VFA) to methane...
(by methanogens) is closely related to hydrolysis and fermentation of proteins and carbohydrates in AD (Chen et al., 2007; Eastman and Ferguson, 1981). Recently, a novel concept of microbial electrolysis cell (MEC) has been developed as a potential way to assist energy recycle from a wide range of organics, with a very high efficiency. Organic compounds are oxidized by anodic respiring bacteria and hydrogen is reduced on the Pt loading cathode with an small applied voltage ($E_{app} = 0.114 \text{V}$) is theoretically needed, while $E_{app} > 0.130 \text{V}$ is required due to overpotential (Call and Logan, 2008). MEC have thus supplied a new pathway to effectively produce hydrogen from biomass, however cathode-induced methane production is still of major concern, due to the presence of methane-producing bacteria (Rozendal et al., 2006; Wagner et al., 2008). Seemingly, microbial electrolysis cell has supplied a potential way to stimulate growth of hydrogenotrophs, which led to methane production by hydrogen consumption (or supplying electrons) at cathode (Villano et al., 2010). Recently, extra H$_2$ or electrons from cathode have been proved to increase methane production and enhance stability in conventional AD reactor coupled MEC (which are frequently associated with hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis). Bo et al. (2014), for instance, coupled anaerobic digestion in a single-chamber microbial electrolysis cell, using a barrel-shape stainless steel as cathode, and reported a COD of acetate removal efficiency that increased from initial 56.5% (for the AD process) to 100% (for the coupled process) in 72 h, while methane yield doubled. Zhang et al. (2015) operated a hybrid reactor with 0.3 V applied voltage and obtained 51 ± 2.2% COD removal, which was higher than AD reactor without voltage (44.3 ± 1.2%), increasing at the same time hydrolysis of polysaccharides. Although hybrid reactors were tested for their ability to accelerate methane production and improve organics degradation (Zhang et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2014), it is important to better understand the different roles played by anode and cathode in the AD system. Firstly, the most suitable substrates reported were different for anode respiring bacteria and cathode-involved bacteria (Liang et al., 2014). Secondly, reactions and/or products developed at the anode and cathode played different roles to methanogens, the presence of direct interspecies electron transfer between Geobacter and methanogens could primarily contribute to methane production (Zhao et al., 2015a), while cathode that was dominated by hydrogenotrophic methanogens take main responsibility for the conversion from hydrogen/electrons to methane through biomass retention (De Vrieze et al., 2014; Siegert et al., 2015). However, single chamber integrated reactor consisted of anode and cathode was employed to enhance methane production in most studies, while the study concentrated on individual function of anode or cathode was still lack. Thus, a separated system between anode and cathode is expected to facilitate the evaluation of the relative contributions to AD improvement. The separated cathode chamber can avoid the effect of anode to methane production as the presence of direct interspecies electron transfer (DIET) in the anode biofilm (Rotaru et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2015a). Thus the contribution of cathode could be studied independently. Moreover, in previous studies, up-flow reactor could exhibit better performance either for anaerobic digestion in methane production (Zhao et al., 2015b) or bioelectrochemistry system in current generation (Wang et al., 2012). Therefore, the integrated reactor was designed to couple up-flow construction and separated anode/cathode in order to achieve better performance and eliminate irrelevant effects.

The objective of this study was to investigate the contribution of cathode in methane production and organic removal efficiency. A novel reactor, two integrated ADs was constructed and connected by bioanode and biocathode independently to evaluate the effect on methane acceleration and COD removal. Glucose and synthetic fermentation liquid were used to evaluate the performance in new reactor, including enhancement and stability of methane production.

2. Methods

2.1. Bioreactor setup

A new integrated anaerobic reactor made of polymethylmethacrylate was tested, by integrated a bioelectrochemical system between two AD systems, which were separated by anion exchange membrane (AEM, Ultrex CML-7000, Membranes Interna-tional, US) into anodic AD and cathodic AD. The cathode was placed in the inner cylinder and the anode was in the outer cylinder. The total volume was 1.2 L, including 700 mL of inner and 500 mL of external. The cathode was made of stainless steel mesh, which was placed close to the AEM, in the inside cylinder. The anode was made of carbon brush, placed in the external tube. A 0.8 V applied voltage was added between anode and cathode, based on our previous study (Lini et al., 2013). A control reactor was operated synchronously but without external voltage.

Acetate (1.5 g/L) was used as the sole carbon source in the anode chamber. Glucose (2.5 g/L in 50 mM PBS) was used as carbon source in the cathodic AD for methane production and for reactor performance evaluation. Subsequently, sludge fermentation liquid (SFL) was used as complex carbons for application test. The main characteristics of sludge fermentation liquid was 750 mg/L acetate, 150 mg/L propionate, 200 mg/L n-butyrate, 300 mg/L polysaccharide and 650 mg/L protein. The mixture of SFL and 50 mM PBS (50:50, V:V) was used as influent to cathodic AD.

2.2. Bioreactor operation

Acetate was used as carbon source for anodic AD throughout the whole experiment. During startup, all reactors were inoculated by waste activity sludge taken from aeration tank of the Taiping Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant (Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, China). Glucose was firstly used as simple carbon source for cathode AD. Two integrated reactors (0.8 V applied voltage) and one control reactor (without applied voltage) were operated in continuous mode at a hydraulic retention time of 24 h. All reactors were operated at room temperature of 25 °C for 30 days. To evaluate bioelectrochemical contribution to methane production in integrated reactors, the applied voltage of one reactor was cut for 7 days as operational control and recovered applied voltage back. Finally, sludge fermentation liquid was used as complex carbons for cathodic AD for organic removal and methane production for another 26 days.

2.3. Measurement and calculation

Agilent gas chromatography (GC) was utilized to analyze the composition of VFAs (Zhou et al., 2013b). The determination of SCOD, TCOD, carbohydrate and protein was performed as described previously (Zhou et al., 2013a). Voltage and current were recorded every 10 min, using a multimeter (model 2700; Keithley Instruments, the USA). Gases (hydrogen, carbon dioxide, methane) were analyzed by TCD GC (Fuli GC9790II, Zhejiang analytical instrument Inc., China).

The contribution of current was calculated as theoretical methane production.

\[ \frac{I}{nF} \cdot V_m = V_{\text{CH}_4} \]

where \( I \) is the current value, \( t \) the time, \( n = 8 \) represents 8 mol electrons that can be transfer in 1 mol of methane, \( F = 96,485 \text{ C/mol is} \]
Faraday's constant, $V_m$ (22.4 L/mol) is the gas constant, whereas $V_{CH4}$ represents the volume of methane.

The revenue from methane was calculated as follows:

$$R_{methane} = \frac{Pe \times V_{methane} \times \Delta H}{3600} \eta$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

with $P_e = 0.06892 \text{€/kW h}$, representing the standard price of electricity (referenced from average standards in 100 cities of China), $R_{methane}$ is the revenue from methane expressed as €/m³ reactor/d, $V_{methane}$ (mL CH₄/mL reactor/day) represents the methane production rate of the reactor, $\Delta H = 890 \text{kJ/mol}$ is the calorific value of methane. $V_{CH4} = 22.4 \text{L/mol}$. $\eta = 35\%$ (Rabaey and Verstraete, 2005), is the electrical efficiency with a combustion engine as converter.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Enhancement of methane production in integrated reactors with glucose as substrate

In the first stage (0–7 d), methane was produced quickly during the initial days in integrated reactors, while there was no methane detected in the AD control reactor without applied voltage till the 7th day (Fig. 1A). Methane production rate in the hybrid reactor increased substantially from 0.01 m³/m³ reactor/d (first day) to 0.06 m³/m³ reactor/d (14th day), and stayed at 0.07 m³/m³ reactor/d until the end of this stage (15–37 d). AD control exhibited a lower methane production rate (on average ~0.027 m³/m³ reactor/d), with no increase throughout the operation time (15–37 d). Average methane production rate of the hybrid reactor was thus improved about 2.59 times (increased by 159.26%) compared to the AD reactor. Methane production rate was enhanced thanks to the independent cathode.

At the end of the experiment (on 30th day), reactor 1# was switched from closed circuit to open. Methane production rate decreased sharply during the following days, reaching on average 0.031 m³/m³ reactor/d, which was close to the performance of the AD control reactor. However, methane production rate in reactor 2# (with applied voltage) was still higher than AD control, seemingly due to the contribution of the cathode. The fluctuation of current was consistent with methane production, showing a similar trend (Fig. 1B). The hybrid reactors started successfully in two weeks. A clear increase in current occurred at the 10th day, with a concomitant increase of methane production rate, thus suggesting a potential relation between current and methane production rate. At the stage of stable methane generation, the peak current kept steady around 10.53 mA (1#) and 8.67 mA (2#). When the external voltage was cut, a drop of methane production rate was detected from 0.070 m³/m³ reactor/d to 0.031 m³/m³ reactor/d.

Wang and colleagues proved that external voltage significantly regulated hydrogen production on cathode in single chamber MEC (Wang et al., 2009), however, hydrogen was quickly consumed for methane production in an AD system. Lower cathode potential (≤1000 mV with calomel electrode in our study) brought from external voltage could probably stimulate the growth of hydrogenotrophic methanogens (Hirano et al., 2013). Recent research also showed that hydrogenotrophic methanogens could accept electrons from both, electrode (Lohner et al., 2014) or hydrogen (Stams and Plugge, 2009). Hydrogenotrophic metabolism was considered as a key process to capture hydrogen (or electrons) to enhance methane production (Villano et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2014). In our study, the increase in methane production could be ascribed to the positive effect of the cathode.

3.2. Methane production with sludge fermentation liquid as substrate

After operating the reactor with glucose for one month, sludge fermentation liquid (containing polysaccharide, protein and VFAs) was used as carbon source for the cathode AD, both in 2# integrated reactor and the control reactor. The complex substrate primarily caused a shock to both reactors. It took about 10 days for the systems to get high methane production. However, a substantial increase of gas production could be achieved. Following the increase of current, going from 8.67 mA (glucose, Fig. 1B) to 9.65 mA (Fig. 2), methane in integrated reactors markedly rose from day 10 and reached 0.247 mL CH₄/mL reactor/day. Methane production rate of control reactor rose from day 11 to day 13 and finally stabilized at an average of 0.163 mL CH₄/mL reactor/day. Obviously, higher methane production was obtained when using sludge fermentation liquid compared to glucose. In integrated reactor, the methane production rate of complex substrate gave methane production rate 3.53-fold higher than that of glucose. Meanwhile, a 6.04-fold increase in methane production rate was also achieved with sludge fermentation liquid in control reactor. As the acetate was main substrate for methanogens to produce methane, the sludge fermentation liquid contained acetate, propionate, butyrate was favourable for the growth of methanogens and methane generation compared with glucose (Costa and Leigh, 2014). Besides, this increase in methane production suggested the potential for methane production from waste activity sludge after shorter fermentation, which was accordant with our previous study (Zhou et al., 2013b). Anyway, it was meaningful to find that the cathode was also able to boost methane generation in this.
integrated reactor, indicating a good ability to degrade complex organic compounds in cathodic AD. Importantly, a relatively stable current rather than methane production after changing to complex substrate, indicated that electron transfer was mainly determined by availability of anodic substrate for anode bacteria (Cheng and Logan, 2007; Kiely et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2010). In theory, if all current contributed to produce methane in cathodic AD, average methane production rate would reach 0.028 mL CH₄/mL reactor/day. Even considering methane (0.028 m³ CH₄/m³ reactor/d) from AD control reactor, the sum would have been only 0.191 m³ CH₄/m³ reactor/d, which was lower than 0.247 m³/m³ reactor/d obtained in the integrated reactor. Therefore, the increased methane production might be ascribed to the complex microbial networks constructed by different microorganisms, especially hydrogenotrophic methanogens, interacting with other bacteria (Fernandez et al., 2000; Sasaki et al., 2011). In recent study, lower redox potential (lower than −800 mV in our experiment) could enhance methane-producing activity with hydrogenotrophic methanogens, indicating cathodic methanogens community owned high cell density (Hirano et al., 2013). Hence, it seems to be reasonable that biomass on the electrode might have contributed to enhance methane production rate in the integrated reactor. Moreover, almost all of the methane originated from substrate, electric current could stimulate the microbial metabolism to enhance the degradation of substrate that was accordingly proved by the higher COD removal efficiency in integrated reactor. Therefore, input of a small amount of electric energy as reducing power in microorganisms would effectively increase total microbial growth and methane production (Sasaki et al., 2011).

3.3. Substrate degradation in integrated reactor

In anaerobic digestion processes, VFAs accumulate as end products in reactors and finally are degraded to produce methane. In order to evaluate AD performance, concentration of VFAs in terms of acetate, propionate, n-butyrate, iso-butyrate, n-valerate and iso-valerate, were determined in all reactors. As shown in Fig. 3, when glucose was used as substrate, the COD removal efficiency went up to 28.7% in the integrated reactor, which was close to the AD control reactor. At the beginning (before methane was produced), a slight accumulation of VFAs was detected. From the 10th day to the 20th, propionate and butyrate decreased in both reactors, while acetate declined noticeably in hybrid reactor only. The change of VFAs in the integrated reactor occurred with a simultaneous methane production rate increase, suggesting that the two phenomena could be related closely.

From day 10 to 31, COD removal efficiency in hybrid reactor ranged between 40% and 60%, which was higher than that of AD (which was below 40%). After substrate was changed to sludge fermentation liquid, VFAs were rapidly degraded. The n-butyrate, iso-butyrate, n-valerate and iso-valerate exhibited similar degradation trends in both reactor, while a clear decrease of acetate and propionate concentration was visible in hybrid reactor only. The final concentration of acetate and propionate in the integrated reactor kept stable around 1000 mg/L and 400 mg/L, respectively. The removal efficiency of COD was 49.62%, which was close to the result using glucose. However, the organic loading rate was increased to 3.8 kg/m³/d using sludge fermentation liquid, which was higher than the 2.5 kg/m³/d of glucose.

Methane production rate was significantly enhanced when substrate changed from glucose to sludge fermentation liquid (for the cathode). A similar COD removal efficiency was obtained in the cathodic AD system, though organic loading was increased by 52% in sludge fermentation liquid. Methane production yield of the integrated reactor was increased by 0.031 ± 0.007 m³/kg COD compared to control AD using glucose, and increased by 0.025 ± 0.010 m³/kg COD when using sludge fermentation liquid. This suggested that contribution of the bioelectrochemical system to the total electron recovery worked stably on various cathodic substrates, but it was mainly determined by anodic AD, most probably including anodic substrate and biofilm formation (Liu et al., 2010). Noticeably, methane yield was increased from 0.054 m³/kg COD of glucose to 0.122 m³/kg COD of sludge fermentation liquid. Previous observations also indicated that the presence of fermentable byproducts such as acetate, propionate,
butyrate could be rapidly consumed to improve the conversion from organic matters to methane (Rozendal et al., 2008).

It is presumable that the introduction of electrolysis cathode could contribute to stimulate microbial metabolism and organic degradation, which resulted in methane production enhancement in hybrid reactor (Thrash and Coates, 2008). Bioelectrochemical system integrated with anaerobic digestion exhibited stable performance with both, glucose as well as sludge fermentation liquid as substrate, which implies that BES could be applied to assist methane production from AD in future application. It was prospective to use fermentation wastewater as alternative carbon source to produce methane in anaerobic reactor.

### 3.4. Input energy recovery efficiency and economic analysis

Promotion of methane production rate was induced by the introduction of BES that drive hydrogen evolution at cathode, however, it is essential to evaluate the input energy recovery efficiency as additional cost. Input energy recovery efficiency was calculated when stable performance was obtained in hybrid reactor. The results showed a clear distinction, depending on the different substrate. The overall energy exceed 100% and reached up to 200% when glucose was used as substrate, whereas more than 400% input energy recovery efficiency was achieved with sludge fermentation liquid. As shown in Fig. 4, one hundred percentage energy covered electric input totally, which indicated that the segment exceeding 100% could be considered as revenue, resulted from enhancement of methane production.

Considering an electrical consumption of $0.19 \times 10^{-3}$ kWh (with an average cost of $0.019$ €/m³/day), overall electricity cost was $0.06982$ €/m³/day. In hybrid reactor, methane production rate ($0.247$ mL CH₄/mL reactor/day) corresponded to $0.067$ €/m³/reactor/day, when using sludge fermentation liquid. The additional revenue in the hybrid reactor was $0.023$ €/m³/reactor/day, considering $0.044$ €/m³/reactor/day for the AD reactor (and based on $0.163$ mL CH₄/mL reactor/day).

When glucose was used as substrate, the average methane production rate was $0.07$ mL CH₄/mL reactor/day (integrated reactor) and $0.027$ mL CH₄/mL reactor/day (AD), respectively, corresponding to $0.019$ €/m³/reactor/day and $0.07$ €/m³/reactor/day revenue returned during wastewater treatment. It is interesting that the lower additional revenue ($0.012$ € = $0.019–0.07$ mL CH₄/mL reactor/day) was obtained with glucose. According to the economic analysis, sludge fermentation liquid resulted to be more viable for integrated reactor. Furthermore, economic analysis revealed that introduction of BES totally self-covered the cost caused by electric input, using complex substrate.

### 4. Conclusion

The novel anaerobic digestion reactor enhanced methane production regardless of the substrate (glucose or synthesis fermentation liquid). The maximum methane production in integrated reactor was $0.247$ mL CH₄/mL reactor/day, which was significant higher than that of control AD reactor ($0.163$ mL CH₄/mL reactor/day). Independent cathode played an important role in enhancing methane production, by stimulating microbial metabolism and organic degradation especially fermentation liquid. Importantly, overall input energy recovery efficiency exceed 100% and the additional revenue from increased methane could cover electric input cost, indicating the feasibility of using independent cathode to enhance methane production from wastewater in future application.
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