Feasibility investigation of methanol generation by CO₂ reduction using Pt/C-based membrane electrode assembly for a reversible fuel cell
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HIGHLIGHTS

► We conducted a feasibility study of the reversible reaction between methanol and CO₂.
► A detailed analysis of the CO₂ reduction was conducted on an MEA with Pt/C electrodes.
► The main product was confirmed to be methanol by GC–MS and methanol-stripping voltammetry.
► The product adsorbs too strongly on the Pt electrode to be removed.
► The CO₂ reduction occurred at a 40% Coulombic efficiency at 0.06–0.25 V vs. DHE.
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ABSTRACT

In this study, we investigated the viability of a reversible fuel cell which uses methanol as the candidate liquid fuel. This fuel cell consists of methanol oxidation and its coupled carbon dioxide (CO₂) reduction reaction. The CO₂ electrode reaction using a membrane electrode assembly (MEA) with a carbon-supported platinum (Pt/C)-based anode and cathode was evaluated. First, the electrode potential of the CO₂ reduction at the MEA cathode was measured by linear sweep voltammetry. The resulting voltammograms show that the CO₂ reduction occurs within the electrode potential region of 0.06–0.4 V vs. the dynamic hydrogen electrode (DHE) in a CO₂ atmosphere. Products of the CO₂ electrode reaction were then analyzed by gas chromatograph–mass spectrometry, and a small amount of methanol was detected. Stripping voltammetry for CO and methanol was conducted, revealing that CO₂ is reduced to methanol and almost all of it strongly adsorbs onto the Pt/C cathode. Finally, the CO₂ electroreduction was shown to occur with a relatively high Coulombic efficiency of 30–50% at 0.06–0.25 V vs. DHE. Our study showed that the CO₂ reduction was able to produce methanol in an MEA with Pt/C electrodes, which may have applications in future fuel cell development.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A reversible fuel cell (RFC) consisting of a polymer electrolyte fuel cell (PEFC) and a water electrolyzer is attractive as an electrical energy storage system, having shown promising results for long-term energy storage and low self-discharge [1–5]. For the hydrogen/oxygen (H₂/O₂) RFC, there have been several reports on the potential use for applications in space, in the stratosphere, and as an alternative to rechargeable batteries [6–11]. However, these fuel cells are limited by technical difficulties in the use and maintenance of H₂ at a high pressure, in terms of safety and long-term storage issues. For this reason, the use of a liquid fuel, which is free from high pressure, should be considered as a possible alternative.

The 2-propanol/acetone system is a known example of a thermally reversible fuel cell that uses liquid fuel, involving the liquid-phase dehydrogenation from 2-propanol to acetone and its reverse hydrogenation [12,13]. However, the operation of this system requires a constant supply of thermal energy, such as solar heat. The 2-propanol and acetone only act as mediators to convert heat energy to electric energy. Therefore, it is obvious that this type of fuel cell has limited application as a long-term energy storage system.

On the other hand, methanol is known to have stable storage properties in its liquid form. Thus far, the direct liquid fueling of methanol to a fuel cell has been investigated as direct methanol fuel cells (DMFCs) [14–17]. The anode and cathode reactions of the DMFCs are shown by Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively. The difference in
than 1 V, in these two equations shows that the theoretical electromotive force of the DMFC is 1.213 V [18], which is almost the same as the electromotive force of a PEFC (1.229 V) [19].

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 6\text{H}^+ + 6e^- \quad E^0 = 0.016 \text{ V}
\]

(1)

\[
3/2\text{O}_2 + 6\text{H}^+ + 6e^- \rightarrow 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad E^0 = 1.229 \text{ V}
\]

(2)

Consequently, we will focus on the reverse reaction shown in Eq. (1). The reduction of CO₂ has been previously investigated by several researchers [20–29], in which formic acid, carbon monoxide, methane, and formaldehyde are reported as the main products. However, there has yet to be a study that has reported methanol being obtained as the main product in this process. Moreover, the reported overvoltages are very high at values greater than 1 V [20–28,28,29]. In these previous studies, CO₂ was dissolved in water when used, wherein the hydrogen evolution reaction competes with the CO₂ reduction. In this study, we used a membrane electrode assembly (MEA) to perform the CO₂ reduction at its approximate standard electrode potential [18].

The purpose of this study was to investigate the methanol generation with a major focus on the CO₂ electroreduction. For this purpose, we conducted the CO₂ reduction at a carbon-supported platinum (Pt/C) cathode of an MEA, which enables the electro-reduction process to be performed with less water and a low overvoltage. We first performed the CO₂ reduction reaction at Pt/C using an MEA. The results of the initial cyclic voltammogram showed the potential range in which the reaction occurs, and the CO₂ electroreduction was then conducted accordingly under a CO₂ atmosphere. Next, the exhaust gases of this reaction were analyzed by gas chromatograph-mass spectrometry (GC–MS). Two kinds of stripping voltammetry measurements using CO and methanol were then performed to confirm the product of the reaction. Finally, the Coulombic efficiency of the CO₂ electrolysis process was electrochemically evaluated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation of a single cell

The MEA (geometric electrode area: 5 cm²) used in this study was prepared as follows [30–32]: Nafion 117 (5 × 5 cm, DuPont) was used as the polymer electrolyte membrane. The membrane was boiled in a 0.5 mol dm⁻³ H₂SO₄ solution, and then washed twice by boiling in distilled water for 1 h. Commercially available Pt/C (Pt loading: 45.7 wt%, Tanaka Kikinzoku Kogyo Co., Ltd.) powder was used for both the cathode and anode catalysts. Two to three drops of Milli-Q water were added to the Pt/C powder and mixed using a ball mill for 5 min, after which the mixture was diluted by a mixed solvent of methanol, 2-propanol, and Milli-Q water (weight ratio was 1:1:1). Next, a 5 wt% Nafion 117 solution was added to the diluted mixture so that the weight ratio was 1:6 (Nafion:Pt/C powder), and dispersed using a ball mill for one day. The obtained catalyst slurry was sprayed over a piece of water-repellent carbon paper (2.3 × 2.3 cm, TGP-H060, Toray Industries, Inc.), such that the amount of Pt was 1.0 mg cm⁻². Subsequently, the pretreated Nafion 117 membrane was sandwiched between two pieces of the catalyst-coated carbon paper and hot-pressed at 45 kN and 140 °C for 10 min. The prepared MEA was installed in a single cell (ElectroChem, Inc., E3156) having a dynamic hydrogen electrode (DHE) as the reference electrode. The DHE potential using Nafion 117 is approximately the same as that of a normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) [33,34]. The schematics of the single cell are represented in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Schematic of a single cell equipped with DHE reference electrode and on-line analysis system.](image)

2.2. Electrochemical measurements

The temperature of the prepared cell was controlled at 40 °C. Humidified N₂ (purity: 99.999%) and humidified H₂ (purity: 99.999%) were supplied to the working and counter electrodes, respectively, at the flow rate of 50 cm³ min⁻¹ by a PEFC power generation unit (HPE-1000, FC Development Co., Ltd.) [35]. Under these conditions, the electrode potential was swept at the rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ and the potential range of the observed voltammogram was stabilized at 0.06–1.40 V vs. DHE. Next, the supplied gas for the working electrode was changed from humidified N₂ to humidified CO₂ (purity: 99.995%), and the cyclic voltammogram was then measured at the rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ in the potential range of 0.06–1.40 V vs. DHE. All gases in this study were fully humidified at all the subsequent temperatures for the measurements.

2.3. Analyses of products

To analyze the products of the reaction, the cell cathode was operated at 0.060 V vs. DHE at 26, 60, 80 and 90 °C by supplying humidified CO₂ to the working electrode and humidified H₂ to the counter electrode. The exhaust gas was directly analyzed by a gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer (GC–MS: GCMS-QP2010 Ultra, Shimadzu) equipped with the CP PoraPlot U capillary column and thermal conductivity detector (TCD-2010 Plus) as shown in Fig. 1. Column temperature was set at 40 °C and held for 6 min, then programmed up to 180 °C at 15 °C min⁻¹. Injection, interface and ion source temperature were set at 100 °C, 190 °C, and 200 °C, respectively. Helium was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 3.62 cm³ min⁻¹. The mass spectrometer was operated in an electron impact ionization mode at an ionization energy of 70 eV. The sensitivity of mass detector was −0.2 and −0.3 kV. Full scan data were obtained with a mass range of m/z 2–100.

The CO-stripping voltammetry was performed as follows: The working electrode potential was fixed at 0.10 V vs. DHE, and humidified CO at 10 cm³ min⁻¹ and humidified H₂ at 50 cm³ min⁻¹ were supplied to the working and counter electrodes, respectively, for 10 min. Thereafter, humidified N₂ was supplied to the working electrode for 1 h at 50 cm³ min⁻¹, while the electrode potential was maintained at 0.10 V vs. DHE. The 2-cycle voltammogram was obtained between the ranges of 0.06–1.30 V vs. DHE with the sweep rate of 10 mV s⁻¹.

The methanol-stripping voltammetry was performed as follows: Similar to the CO-stripping measurement, the working electrode potential was fixed at 0.10 V vs. DHE; and N₂ gas bubbled
into a bottle of liquid methanol at 50 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$ (Purity: 99.95%, Wako) and humidified H$_2$ at 50 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$ were supplied to the working and counter electrodes, respectively, for 10 min. Thereafter, 50 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$ humidified N$_2$ was supplied to the working electrode for 1 h, while the electrode potential was maintained at 0.10 V vs. DHE. The voltammogram was then measured using the same procedure for the CO-stripping measurement.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Cyclic voltammograms under a CO$_2$ atmosphere

To investigate the CO$_2$ reduction reaction, a cyclic voltammogram was measured at the working electrode using a single cell equipped with a reference electrode (DHE). The results are shown in Fig. 2, represented by the solid line. For comparison, the result under a N$_2$ atmosphere is presented in the same figure as the dotted line. Under the N$_2$ atmosphere, a typical cyclic voltammogram of Pt was observed, representing characteristics such as the H-adsorption/desorption at 0.06–0.30 V vs. DHE, the formation of an oxide layer on the Pt surface at 0.80 V vs. DHE, and the reduction of the Pt oxide layer at around 0.70 V vs. DHE [36].

In the CO$_2$ atmosphere, three characteristic peaks were observed in Fig. 2, designated as Region 1, Region 2, and Region 3. In Region 1, the reduction current under the CO$_2$ atmosphere was observed to be as high as that under the N$_2$ atmosphere. In Region 2, the H-desorption region, the oxidation current under the CO$_2$ atmosphere is lower than that under the N$_2$ atmosphere. This implies that the desorption amount of adsorbed H on the cathode surface under the CO$_2$ atmosphere is lower than that under the N$_2$ one. However, this result was inconsistent with the observation in Region 1. In Region 3, a new current peak was observed under the CO$_2$ atmosphere, whereas there is no peak under the N$_2$ atmosphere. Based on these results, it is deduced that the CO$_2$ reduction occurs in Region 1, which competes with the H-adsorption reaction, and the CO$_2$ reduction product or intermediate is reoxidized in Region 3.

#### 3.2. Electrochemical quantitative analysis of CO$_2$ reduction

According to the aforementioned deduction, we can assume that the oxidation peak in Region 3 of Fig. 2 indicates the reoxidation of the CO$_2$ reductant. Based on this assumption, a linear sweep voltammogram was then measured by changing the initial holding potential. The initial holding potential was gradually changed from 0.06 to 0.40 V vs. DHE for 5 min under humidified CO$_2$ flowing at 50 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$. The electrode potential was then swept in a positive direction to obtain the linear sweep voltammogram (0.06–1.40 V vs. DHE, 10 mV s$^{-1}$). These results are represented in Fig. 3, which show that the reoxidized peak of the CO$_2$ reductant decreases when the holding potential is more than 0.20 V vs. DHE.

The relationship between the coulomb charge of the peak in Region 3 versus the initial holding potential is plotted in Fig. 4. The magnitude of this charge is observed to decrease with a shift in the holding potential from 0.20 V vs. DHE in the anodic direction, while it is almost the same at 0.20–0.05 V vs. DHE. This result suggests that the amount of adsorbed materials is saturated by the 5-min electrolysis at 0.06–0.20 V vs. DHE.

#### 3.3. GC–MS analysis

We analyzed reaction products of the CO$_2$ reduction at the Pt/C electrocatalyst in the single cell using the on-line GC–MS. The CO$_2$ electrolysis was conducted for 30 min at 0.060 V vs. DHE at 26, 60, 80 and 90°C, and the exhausted gas was directly introduced to the GC–MS as shown in Fig. 1. A total ion chromatogram (TIC) and the controlled column temperature are shown in Fig. 5.a. The column temperature is elevated as shown in upper the graph of Fig. 5a. In the bottom graph of Fig. 5a, observed peaks at around 6 and 16 min are identified to be CO$_2$ and H$_2$O, respectively. These peaks are too large to find other peaks. Then, we increased the sensitivity of mass detector from –0.3 kV to –0.2 kV; while, the CO$_2$ and H$_2$O were introduced to the TCD unit during their retention time. The obtained TIC results are shown in Fig. 5b. From this graph, a small peak is observed around 17.3 min at the cell temperature of 80 and 90°C.

Then, the peaks were qualitatively analyzed by mass spectra. The mass spectrum at 17.3 min retention time is shown in Fig. 5c (bottom). For identifying, a library datum of methanol is also shown in upper graph of Fig. 5c. From these graphs, the main peaks of m/z = 29, 31, and 32 well agree. Consequently, the detected small peak is attributed to the generated methanol which is exhausted from the cell.

Next, to assess the temperature dependency of methanol detection, the ion chromatograms of m/z = 29 and 31 are shown in Fig. 5d. For each mass numbers, the peak is observed at 80 and 90°C. The elevated temperature makes the adsorbed methanol on the Pt/C easier to be exhausted from the cell. Any other peaks based on CO, methane, and ethanol were not found in the exhaust gas.

#### 3.4. CO- and methanol-stripping voltammograms

Based on these results, stripping voltammetry measurements were conducted to confirm the CO$_2$ reduction product. According to
Along with this consideration, the CO-stripping voltammogram was measured. The result is shown in Fig. 6. The solid line and the dotted line in the figure indicate the first and second cycles, respectively. It was found that CO is completely desorbed by oxidation during the first cycle, as the voltammogram of the second cycle was almost identical in shape to that obtained under the N₂ atmosphere (see Fig. 2). This result shows that the CO oxidation began from 0.60 V vs. DHE on the Pt/C, and two oxidation peaks are observed at 0.65 and 0.80 V vs. DHE. Because the types of CO adsorptions on Pt surfaces are different, two oxidation peaks were observed [37,38]. For this reason, it seems that the CO-stripping voltammogram does not coincide with the result of Fig. 3.

The results of the methanol stripping are shown in Fig. 7 in which the solid and dotted lines indicate the first and second cycles, respectively. The second cycle in Fig. 7 indicates that methanol is completely oxidized. The first cycle shows an onset potential of 0.4 V vs. DHE, and the peak of the methanol oxidation is at 0.60 V vs. DHE [39]. This is almost identical to the observations in Fig. 3, in which the reoxidation onset potential is at 0.45 V vs. DHE and the peak is located at approximately 0.60 V vs. DHE. These results together with the chromatography result clearly point out that the reoxidation peak obtained from the CO₂ reduction is attributed to

![Fig. 4. Five-min electrolysis potential dependence of coulomb charges (Q) for the peak observed between 0.5 and 0.85 V vs. DHE in Fig. 3. Plots are taken from Fig. 3.](image)

![Fig. 5. Results of on-line GC–MS measurement, (a) Time stream of column temperature and total ion chromatograph at –0.3 kV of mass sensitivity, (b) total ion chromatographs at 26, 60, 80 and 90 °C at –0.2 kV of mass sensitivity, (c) a methanol spectrum from library database (upper) and sample spectrum at 80 °C (bottom), and (d) temperature dependency of m/z = 29 and 31 ion chromatographs.](image)
These potentials are more positive than 0.016 V vs. NHE, which is the standard electrode potential of 0.016 V vs. NHE, which is the onset potential of the CO2 reduction, accompanies the formation of CO and oxidation current. It is unable to be observed due to the relatively large coupled cathodic currents. However, this small reduction current proceeds even when the potential is more positive than the standard electrode potential. For this reason, the onset potential of the MOR shifts in the positive direction and is observed at 0.4 V vs. NHE as shown in Fig. 2. The Coulombic efficiencies of the MOR ranges between 30 and 50% at 0.06–0.25 V vs. DHE, and that this value is not dependent on the lower potential limit of the cyclic voltammetry. Next, the yield methanol in the exhausted gas was calculated using the data of Fig. 5. Table 1 shows the calculated Coulombic efficiency using current charges and detected methanol amount. By comparing the results of Table 1 and Fig. 8, the Coulombic efficiency of the CO2 reduction reaction can be suppressed because only a small amount of water is present. Therefore, the CO2 reduction significantly occurred at the Pt/C of the MEA.

3.6. Coulombic efficiency of the CO2 reduction reaction

The reduction reaction observed at Region 1 in Fig. 2 was thought to be the competitive reactions of (i) the CO2 reduction and (ii) the H adsorption on Pt. A comparison of the Coulombic efficiency of both reactions was carried out by calculating the ratios from the H adsorption (E_H) and the CO2 reductant (E_R) using the coulomb charges of each region as shown in Fig. 3. The ratios are calculated using Eqs. (3) and (4).

\[ E_H = \frac{Q_{\text{des(H)}}}{Q_{\text{ads}}} \times 100 \]  
\[ E_R = \frac{Q_{\text{des(RED)}}}{Q_{\text{ads}}} \times 100 \]  

where \( Q_{\text{des(H)}} \) represents the charges caused by the H desorption in Region 2, \( Q_{\text{des(RED)}} \) represents the charges caused by the re-oxidation of the CO2 reductant in Region 3, and \( Q_{\text{ads}} \) represents the total reduction charges observed in Region 1. For this evaluation, a cyclic voltammetry measurement was conducted only by changing the cathodic potential limit of the voltammogram shown in Fig. 2. The Coulombic efficiencies of \( E_H \) and \( E_R \) were calculated using Eqs. (3) and (4), respectively, and plotted versus the lower potential limit of the cyclic voltammetry in Fig. 8. In this figure, the Coulombic efficiencies are indicated by an open circle (E_H) and a closed circle (E_R). The results show that the Coulombic efficiency of the CO2 reduction ranged between 30 and 50% at 0.06–0.25 V vs. DHE, and that this value is not dependent on the lower potential limit of the cyclic voltammetry.

Moreover, chronoamperometry was conducted at 0.051 V vs. DHE by supplying the humidified CO2 and humidified H2 to the working and counter electrodes, respectively, for 1 h. The result is shown in Fig. 9, which demonstrates the continuous reduction of methanol oxidation reaction, and the methanol generated is known to be strongly adsorbed on the Pt surface.

3.5. Analysis of the CO2 reduction potential

Based on the results from the last section, methanol was deduced to be the main product of the process. Based on Figs. 3 and 4, the CO2 reduction was shown to occur at 0.06–0.4 V vs. DHE. These potentials are more positive than 0.016 V vs. NHE, which is the standard electrode potential of Eq. (1). In general, no current is observed in the case of the coupled reversible reactions at the standard electrode potential because of the balanced anodic and cathodic currents. When we look at the reduction reaction, it proceeds even when the potential is more positive than the standard electrode potential. However, this small reduction current is unable to be observed due to the relatively large coupled oxidation current.

The methanol oxidation reaction (MOR), which is a coupled reaction of the CO2 reduction, accompanies the formation of CO and other intermediates that strongly adsorb on the surface of the Pt/C electrode. For this reason, the onset potential of the MOR shifts in the positive direction and is observed at 0.4 V vs. NHE as shown in Fig. 2. As a result of this anodic potential shift of the coupled MOR, it could be considered that the CO2 reduction is observed at 0.06–0.4 V vs. DHE, as seen in Fig. 4, which is a substantially more positive potential than the standard electrode potential of 0.016 V vs. NHE.

It should be noted that the CO2 reduction process is performed on the Pt/C cathode of the MEA in this experiment. Under these conditions, the hydrogen evolution reaction as a competition reaction can be suppressed because only a small amount of water is present. Therefore, the CO2 reduction significantly occurred at the Pt/C of the MEA.
CO₂. However, the magnitude of the current was observed to decrease with the increasing electrolysis time. The results of the methanol-stripping voltammograms, as mentioned earlier, show that methanol strongly adsorbs on the Pt surface. The results observed in Fig. 9 can therefore be explained by the increasing accumulation of methanol on the Pt surface, which consequently results in a decrease in the number of active sites on the Pt electrode.

In Fig. 8, the CO₂ electrolysis was conducted by cyclic voltammetry, and the results show that the Coulombic efficiency of the CO₂ reduction is approximately 40% in the potential range of 0.06–0.25 V vs. DHE. However, as shown in Fig. 9, the reduction current decreased with an increase in the electrolysis time. The difference observed between these two results can be explained by the fact that the electrolysis was conducted for a short period of time in the first case (Fig. 8) and for a longer period of time in the second one (Fig. 9). At present, it is possible to detect only a small amount of methanol in the exhaust gas, but our analysis showed that the methanol strongly adsorbs on the Pt cathode. If these adsorbates can be efficiently removed from the cell, we can expect the CO₂ reduction process to continuously occur without any decrease in the reduction current by catalyst poisoning.

In the near future, we plan to develop the electrocatalyst which can easily release the adsorbed methanol. This enables to realize a reversible fuel cell by utilizing the methanol production reaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature/°C</th>
<th>Coulombic efficiency/%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.17 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.05 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Coulombic efficiency of the yielded methanol out of the cell. Data are taken from Fig. 5.

Fig. 9. Time dependence of CO₂ electrolysis current for 1 h. Cell temperature: 40 °C, working potential: 0.051 V vs. DHE.

4. Conclusions

In this study, we have performed the CO₂ reduction using an MEA with a Pt/C-based electrode under a CO₂ gas atmosphere. A summary of the results is as follows:

1. Cyclic voltammograms were measured under a CO₂ atmosphere, and a new oxidation current peak was observed at approximately 0.6 V vs. DHE. This peak was considered to be the reoxidation peak of the CO₂ reduction.

2. By varying the lower potential limit of the cyclic voltammetry, we observed that the magnitude of the reoxidized peaks was dependent on this potential. The CO₂ reduction was observed to occur at 0.06–0.4 V vs. DHE.

3. Exhaust gas from the CO₂ electroreduction process was analyzed by GC–MS, which showed the presence of a small amount of methanol.

4. A methanol-stripping voltammogram confirmed that the main product of the CO₂ electroreduction is methanol. It was deduced that almost all the methanol produced by the CO₂ reduction is adsorbed on the Pt electrode.

5. Coulombic efficiency of the CO₂ reduction was evaluated by a cyclic voltammetry measurement, and was found to occur at the high Coulombic efficiency of 40% at 0.06–0.25 V vs. DHE. However, for a 1 h operation, it was speculated that the CO₂ reduction product of the methanol accumulates on the active site of the Pt/C electrocatalyst, thereby reducing the reduction current with time.
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